# STAT 237 Hierarchical Models

April 11-15, 2022

Colin Reimer Dawson

1/12

### Outline

#### Coin Flips and Extra-Sensory Perception

### Outline

#### Coin Flips and Extra-Sensory Perception

### Review: Beta-Bernoulli Model

We have worked extensively with the following model (prior and likelihood) for conditionally independent binary observations,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ 

 $\mu \sim \mathsf{Beta}(a_0, b_0)$  $y_n \mid \mu \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Bernoulli}(\mu), n = 1, \dots, N$ 

### Review: Beta-Bernoulli Model

We have worked extensively with the following model (prior and likelihood) for conditionally independent binary observations,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ 

$$\mu \sim \mathsf{Beta}(a_0, b_0)$$
$$y_n \mid \mu \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Bernoulli}(\mu), n = 1, \dots, N$$

Defining  $N_1 \coloneqq \sum_{n=1}^N y_n$  to be the number of "successes" in the data, after updating, the posterior is then

$$\mu \mid \mathbf{y} \sim \mathsf{Beta}(a_0 + N_1, b_0 + N - N_1)$$

In other words, the number of "successes" (1s) and "failures" (0s) in the data add to the a and b parameters of the Beta respectively

### Review: Beta-Bernoulli Model The mean of a Beta(a, b) distribution is $\frac{a}{a+b}$ , so we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \theta \right] = \frac{a_0}{a_0 + b_0}$$
$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \theta \mid \mathbf{y} \right] = \frac{a_0 + N_1}{a_0 + N_1 + b_0 + N - N_1}$$
$$= \frac{a_0 + N_1}{a_0 + b_0 + N}$$

### Review: Beta-Bernoulli Model The mean of a Beta(a, b) distribution is $\frac{a}{a+b}$ , so we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \theta \right] = \frac{a_0}{a_0 + b_0}$$
$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \theta \mid \mathbf{y} \right] = \frac{a_0 + N_1}{a_0 + N_1 + b_0 + N - N_1}$$
$$= \frac{a_0 + N_1}{a_0 + b_0 + N}$$

Note that we can rewrite the posterior mean as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\theta \mid \mathbf{y}\right] = \frac{a_0}{a_0 + b_0 + N} + \frac{N_1}{a_0 + b_0 + N}$$
$$= \frac{a_0}{a_0 + b_0} \frac{a_0 + b_0}{a_0 + b_0 + N} + \frac{N_1}{N} \frac{N}{a_0 + b_0 + N}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\theta\right] \left(\frac{a_0 + b_0}{a_0 + b_0 + N}\right) + \bar{y} \left(\frac{N}{a_0 + b_0 + N}\right)$$

### Review: Beta-Bernoulli Model

Defining

$$\alpha = \frac{a_0 + b_0}{a_0 + b_0 + N}$$

this is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\theta \mid \mathbf{y}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\theta\right] \left(\frac{a_0 + b_0}{a_0 + b_0 + N}\right) + \bar{y}\left(\frac{N}{a_0 + b_0 + N}\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\theta\right] \alpha + \bar{y}(1 - \alpha)$$

### Review: Beta-Bernoulli Model

Defining

$$\alpha = \frac{a_0 + b_0}{a_0 + b_0 + N}$$

this is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\theta \mid \mathbf{y}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\theta\right] \left(\frac{a_0 + b_0}{a_0 + b_0 + N}\right) + \bar{y}\left(\frac{N}{a_0 + b_0 + N}\right)$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\theta\right] \alpha + \bar{y}(1 - \alpha)$$

That is, the posterior mean is a **weighted average** of the **prior mean** and the **data mean**, with weights coming from the **virtual sample size** of the prior and **actual sample size** of the data

### ESP

### ESP

Recall Peter Venkman's experiment to test for extra-sensory perception: Each of 1000 people attempted to call the result of 10 consecutive coin flips. How can we model this data?

▶ There are 10000 flips overall, each with a binary result

### ESP

- ▶ There are 10000 flips overall, each with a binary result
- We could model  $Y_1, \ldots Y_{10000} \mid \mu \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\mu)$  but... that throws away structure

### ESP

- ▶ There are 10000 flips overall, each with a binary result
- We could model  $Y_1, \ldots Y_{10000} \mid \mu \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\mu)$  but... that throws away structure
- $\blacktriangleright$  If some people are psychic and others aren't, then the psychic individuals' have a different  $\mu$

#### ESP

- ▶ There are 10000 flips overall, each with a binary result
- We could model  $Y_1, \ldots Y_{10000} \mid \mu \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\mu)$  but... that throws away structure
- If some people are psychic and others aren't, then the psychic individuals' have a different µ
- We'd like a model that allows each person to have a different µ, while also being able to learn something about the population as a whole

#### ESP

Recall Peter Venkman's experiment to test for extra-sensory perception: Each of 1000 people attempted to call the result of 10 consecutive coin flips. How can we model this data?

Let s = 1,...,S index subjects (people; here S = 1000) and n = 1,...N index trials (here, N = 10)

#### ESP

- Let s = 1, ..., S index subjects (people; here S = 1000) and n = 1, ..., N index trials (here, N = 10)
- We can define  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_S$  to be the success chances for each individual

#### ESP

- Let s = 1, ..., S index subjects (people; here S = 1000) and n = 1, ..., N index trials (here, N = 10)
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can define  $\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_S$  to be the success chances for each individual
- How to estimate these?

#### ESP

Recall Peter Venkman's experiment to test for extra-sensory perception: Each of 1000 people attempted to call the result of 10 consecutive coin flips. How can we model this data?

- Let s = 1, ..., S index subjects (people; here S = 1000) and n = 1, ..., N index trials (here, N = 10)
- We can define  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_S$  to be the success chances for each individual
- How to estimate these?
- We need a **prior** the  $\theta_s$ s. One possibility:

$$\theta_s \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Beta}(a_0, b_0)$$

where we specify  $a_0 \mbox{ and } b_0$  based on background information

#### ESP

Recall Peter Venkman's experiment to test for extra-sensory perception: Each of 1000 people attempted to call the result of 10 consecutive coin flips. How can we model this data?

- Let s = 1, ..., S index subjects (people; here S = 1000) and n = 1, ..., N index trials (here, N = 10)
- We can define  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_S$  to be the success chances for each individual
- How to estimate these?
- We need a **prior** the  $\theta_s$ s. One possibility:

 $\theta_s \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Beta}(a_0, b_0)$ 

where we specify  $a_0 \ {\rm and} \ b_0$  based on background information

 This would split the problem into 1000 separate Beta-Bernoulli models. But this doesn't help us learn

8/12

### ESP

Recall Peter Venkman's experiment to test for extra-sensory perception: Each of 1000 people attempted to call the result of 10 consecutive coin flips. How can we model this data?

• One possibility:

$$\theta_s \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Beta}(a_0, b_0)$$

### ESP

Recall Peter Venkman's experiment to test for extra-sensory perception: Each of 1000 people attempted to call the result of 10 consecutive coin flips. How can we model this data?

One possibility:

$$\theta_s \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Beta}(a_0, b_0)$$

 This would split the problem into 1000 separate Beta-Bernoulli models. But this doesn't help us learn much about the population as a whole

### ESP

Recall Peter Venkman's experiment to test for extra-sensory perception: Each of 1000 people attempted to call the result of 10 consecutive coin flips. How can we model this data?

One possibility:

$$\theta_s \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Beta}(a_0, b_0)$$

- This would split the problem into 1000 separate Beta-Bernoulli models. But this doesn't help us learn much about the population as a whole
- Instead, we might let the parameters of the Beta distribution be random variables themselves

### ESP

Recall Peter Venkman's experiment to test for extra-sensory perception: Each of 1000 people attempted to call the result of 10 consecutive coin flips. How can we model this data?

One possibility:

$$\theta_s \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Beta}(a_0, b_0)$$

- This would split the problem into 1000 separate Beta-Bernoulli models. But this doesn't help us learn much about the population as a whole
- Instead, we might let the parameters of the Beta distribution be random variables themselves
- For instance, let ω represent the mean success rate across the population, and κ tell us something about the homogeneity of the population

### ESP

Recall Peter Venkman's experiment to test for extra-sensory perception: Each of 1000 people attempted to call the result of 10 consecutive coin flips. How can we model this data?

• One possibility:

$$\theta_s \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Beta}(a_0, b_0)$$

- This would split the problem into 1000 separate Beta-Bernoulli models. But this doesn't help us learn much about the population as a whole
- Instead, we might let the parameters of the Beta distribution be random variables themselves
- For instance, let ω represent the mean success rate across the population, and κ tell us something about the homogeneity of the population
- Then we might define

#### ESP

Recall Peter Venkman's experiment to test for extra-sensory perception: Each of 1000 people attempted to call the result of 10 consecutive coin flips. How can we model this data?

Let ω represent the mean success rate across the population, and κ tell us something about the homogeneity of the population

### ESP

Recall Peter Venkman's experiment to test for extra-sensory perception: Each of 1000 people attempted to call the result of 10 consecutive coin flips. How can we model this data?

- Let ω represent the mean success rate across the population, and κ tell us something about the homogeneity of the population
- Then we might define

$$\theta_s \mid \omega, \kappa \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Beta}(\kappa \omega, \kappa(1-\omega))$$

and put hyperpriors on  $\kappa$  and  $\omega.$  Such as:

$$\omega \sim \text{Beta}(a_0, b_0)$$
  
 $\kappa \sim \text{Gamma}(k, r)$ 

We might define

$$\theta_s \mid \omega, \kappa \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Beta}(\kappa \omega, \kappa(1-\omega))$$

and put hyperpriors on  $\kappa$  and  $\omega.$  Such as:

 $\omega \sim \text{Beta}(a_0, b_0)$  $\kappa \sim \text{Gamma}(k, r)$ 

We might define

$$\theta_s \mid \omega, \kappa \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Beta}(\kappa \omega, \kappa(1-\omega))$$

and put hyperpriors on  $\kappa$  and  $\omega$ . Such as:

 $\omega \sim \text{Beta}(a_0, b_0)$  $\kappa \sim \text{Gamma}(k, r)$ 

Then, we use the data

 $y_{1|1}, \ldots y_{N|1}, y_{1|2}, \ldots, y_{N|2}, \ldots, y_{1|S}, \ldots, y_{N|S}$  to obtain a joint posterior density

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \omega, \kappa \mid \mathbf{Y}) = \frac{p(\omega, \kappa, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\mathbf{Y} \mid \omega, \kappa, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{p(\mathbf{Y})}$$

11/12

We might define

$$\theta_s \mid \omega, \kappa \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Beta}(\kappa \omega, \kappa(1-\omega))$$

We might define

$$\theta_s \mid \omega, \kappa \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathsf{Beta}(\kappa \omega, \kappa(1-\omega))$$

• Then, we use the data  $y_{1|1}, \ldots, y_{N|1}, y_{1|2}, \ldots, y_{N|2}, \ldots, y_{1|S}, \ldots, y_{N|S}$  to obtain a joint posterior density

$$p(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\kappa} \mid \mathbf{Y}) = \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\mathbf{Y} \mid \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{p(\mathbf{Y})}$$
$$= \frac{p(\boldsymbol{\omega}) p(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) \prod_{s=1}^{S} p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_s \mid \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \prod_{s=1}^{S} \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(y_{n|s} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_s)}{p(\mathbf{Y})}$$